THE OFFICE OF REGULATORY STAFF DIRECT TESTIMONY & EXHIBITS

OF

SARAH W. JOHNSON

AUGUST 17, 2018



DOCKET NO. 2018-3-E

ANNUAL REVIEW OF BASE RATES FOR FUEL COSTS OF DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC

August 17, 2018

23

Page 1 of 7

1		DIRECT TESTIMONY AND EXHIBIT OF
2		SARAH W. JOHNSON
3		ON BEHALF OF
4		THE SOUTH CAROLINA OFFICE OF REGULATORY STAFF
5		DOCKET NO. 2018-3-E
6		IN RE: ANNUAL REVIEW OF BASE RATES FOR FUEL COSTS
7		OF DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC
8		
9	Q.	PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME, BUSINESS ADDRESS AND OCCUPATION.
10	A.	My name is Sarah W. Johnson. My business address is 1401 Main Street, Suite 900,
11		Columbia, South Carolina 29201. I am employed by the State of South Carolina as the
12		Deputy Director of Utility Services in the Utility Rates and Services Division of the Office
13		of Regulatory Staff ("ORS").
14	Q.	PLEASE STATE YOUR EDUCATIONAL BACKGROUND AND EXPERIENCE.
15	A.	I received my Bachelor of Science from the University of South Carolina in 1995.
16		Prior to my employment with ORS, I held a variety of positions supporting both regulated
17		and deregulated utility operations for electric, natural gas, and telecommunication
18		providers across the southeast. My duties included customer service, information
19		technology, product marketing, and regulatory consulting.
20		I joined ORS in 2016 as Deputy Director of Utility Services. In this role I supervise
21		the daily activities related to the technical areas of Distributed Energy Resources, solar
22		leasing, and Demand Side Management and Energy Efficiency. I am also responsible for

the daily operations of ORS Consumer Services Division.

August 17, 2018

1

2

3

4

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

Page 2 of 7

Q.	HAVE YOU TESTIFIED BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF
	SOUTH CAROLINA ("COMMISSION")?

A. Yes. I have testified before the Commission in matters related to electric utility annual review of base rates for fuel proceedings.

5 Q. WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY?

The purpose of my testimony is to set forth ORS's recommendations resulting from the examination of Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC's ("DEC" or "Company") Distributed Energy Resource Program ("DERP") expenses for the period of June 2017 through May 2018 ("Actual Period"), June 2018 through September 2018 ("Estimated Period"), and October 2018 through September 2019 ("Forecasted Period").

11 Q. WHAT EXPENSES RELATED TO THE COMPANY'S DERP ARE INCLUDED IN 12 THIS PROCEEDING?

A. Pursuant to S.C. Code Ann. § 58-39-130(A)(2) (2015), an electrical utility with an approved DERP may recover associated costs that are reasonably and prudently incurred, and pursuant to S.C. Code Ann. § 58-39-140(F) (2015), cost recovery shall remain in force until all approved DERP components have been recovered. The Company's DERP was approved in Commission Order No. 2015-515 and the Company included in this filing actual, estimated and forecasted avoided and incremental costs for the period of June 1, 2017 through September 30, 2019.

Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN THE INCLUSION OF DERP AVOIDED COSTS TO BE RECOVERED IN THIS PROCEEDING.

A. According to S.C. Code Ann. § 58-39-140, payments for electricity provided under the DERP that are paid at avoided cost rates or rates negotiated pursuant to the Public

2

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

21

22

Α.

Q.

Α.

Utility Regulatory Policy Act of 1978 ("PURPA"), whichever is lower, are eligible to be recovered through the DERP avoided cost component.

The Company incurred DERP avoided costs during the Actual Period related to excess Net Energy Metering ("NEM") payments to customers, and three (3) buy-all sell-all customer agreements. In addition, the Company included avoided costs in the Forecasted Period for purchased power agreements and the Shared Solar program projected to be operational in December 2018. The Company allocated these costs using the same method used to allocate and recover variable environmental costs. Actual and estimated DERP avoided cost totals are shown in ORS witness Briseno's Audit Exhibit ADB-10.

WHAT TYPES OF EXPENSES DID THE COMPANY INCLUDE AS DERP INCREMENTAL COSTS?

The Company included General and Administrative costs incurred to implement the Company's DERP, NEM incentives, NEM avoided capacity costs, amortization of solar rebates and related carrying costs, and NEM meter costs for the Actual Period. The Company also included costs for purchased power agreements and the Shared Solar Program for the Forecasted Period. Actual and estimated cost totals are shown in ORS witness Briseno's Audit Exhibit ADB-9. Exhibit SWJ-1 reflects the under-recovered and total estimated and forecasted incremental costs.

19 Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN THE COMPANY'S ADJUSTMENTS RELATED TO DERP 20 INCREMENTAL COSTS.

In March 2018, the Company made an adjustment of \$20,337 to the NEM Incentive costs, NEM Meter Costs, and NEM Avoided Capacity costs, as well as an adjustment of

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Α.

Α.

1	\$5,807	to	base	fuel	costs.	These	adjustments	resulted	from	an	error	detected	when
2	reconci	ling	g custo	omer	billing	system	information	with final	cost 1	epo	rts.		

In April 2018, the Company made an adjustment of \$310,604 to the NEM Incentive costs, NEM Meter costs, and NEM Avoided Capacity costs, as well as an adjustment of \$80,739 to base fuel costs. These adjustments resulted from an error discovered in the solar profile input data used to calculate the NEM cost components.

In May 2018, the Company made an adjustment of \$4,649. This adjustment resulted from the misallocation of certain costs and to remove other costs not associated with the Company's DERP.

ORS met with Company personnel to discuss all discrepancies and verify the corresponding adjustments. The adjustments to base fuel and incremental costs are reflected on ORS witness Briseno's Audit Exhibits ADB-5 and ADB-9, respectively.

13 Q. HAS THE ISSUE RELATED TO THE SOLAR REBATE PROGRAM AS 14 DISCUSSED IN ORDER 2017-597 BEEN RESOLVED?

Yes. ORS reviewed and verified Company data regarding the sample of solar rebate accounts. ORS finds the Company's proposed cost recovery for those rebates in this proceeding to be reasonable and the issue to be resolved.

Q. DOES ORS RECOMMEND ANY ADDITIONAL ADJUSTMENTS TO THE COMPANY'S DERP AVOIDED AND INCREMENTAL COSTS?

No. ORS met with Company personnel to discuss continued DERP implementation, to evaluate the prudency and reasonableness of expenses, and review the Company's methodology for allocating and recovering DERP expenses. ORS found the Company's DERP avoided and adjusted incremental costs to be reasonably and prudently

Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC

August .	7, 20)18
----------	-------	-----

Page 5 of 7

- 1 incurred in implementing the Company's DERP. ORS also reviewed the Company's 2 Estimated and Forecasted DERP avoided and incremental costs and found them to be 3 reasonable.
- 4 DID THE COMPANY UPDATE THE VALUE OF DISTRIBUTED ENERGY Q. 5 **RESOURCES?**
- 6 Α. Yes. As shown in Company witness Snider's testimony (page 4), DEC proposes a 7 total value of NEM distributed generation of \$0.05323/kilowatt-hour ("kWh") for small 8 solar generation and \$0.05310/kWh for large solar generation.
- 9 Q. IS THE COMPANY'S CALCULATION OF THE **NEM INCENTIVE** 10 **CONSISTENT WITH DOCKET NO. 2014-246-E?**
- 11 Yes. The Company used the methodology approved in Commission Order No. A. 12 2015-194 to calculate the NEM incentive.
- PLEASE EXPLAIN THE DERP CHARGE PER ACCOUNT. 13 Q.
- 14 A. The fixed charge by which the Company proposes to recover DERP incremental 15 costs ("DERP Charge") is determined by allocating DERP incremental expenses in the 16 same way the Company allocates variable environmental expenses. The revenue is 17 collected as a fixed charge per account to ensure that no account charge exceeds the annual 18 recovery caps prescribed in S.C. Code Ann. § 58-39-150. ORS finds the Company's 19 methodology to calculate, allocate and collect the DERP Charge complies with Act 236 20 and with Commission orders.
- DOES ORS RECOMMEND ANY ADJUSTMENTS TO THE COMPANY'S 21 Q. 22 PROPOSED DERP CHARGES?

August 17, 2018

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

22

Q.

Α.

A.

Page 6 of 7

Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC

Yes. During the review process, ORS detected an error in the Company's data
related to average number of customer accounts per class used in the calculation of DERP
charges. The correction of this error decreases the DERP charges proposed for the
Residential and Commercial classes. The revised DERP charges are shown in Exhibit SWJ-
1.
WILL THE ANNUAL DERP CHARGE RECOVER ALL THE INCREMENTAL
COSTS?

No. The DERP Charge will not recover all the incremental costs allocated to the industrial customer class. A full recovery of DERP incremental costs would require an annual DERP charge of \$2,908.96 for industrial customers. However, the annual recovery caps prescribed in S.C. Code Ann. § 58-39-150 limit the amount Industrial customers can be charged each year to \$1,200. DEC's revised annual DERP charge will recover all incremental costs from Residential and Commercial customers as the costs are under the annual recovery caps of \$12 and \$120 respectively. See Exhibit SWJ-1 for the DERP charge per customer class.

16 Q. HOW WILL UNDER-COLLECTED INCREMENTAL COSTS BE TREATED?

A. Under-collected incremental costs will be treated in the same manner as other fuel and fuel-related under-collected balances are treated. The under-collection will earn carrying costs until next year when it will be reallocated using each class's contribution to peak demand.

21 Q. DID ORS REVIEW THE PROPOSED UPDATES TO RIDER RNM THAT THE

COMPANY INCLUDED IN THIS PROCEEDING?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

Page 7 of 7

A.	Yes. ORS reviewed the modifications as discussed in Company witness Martin's
	testimony and shown in Martin Exhibit 1. The Company updated the value of NEM
	distributed energy resources and revised the availability provisions of the Rider to reflect
	the closure of the NEM program to new applicants beginning August 1, 2018. ORS
	reviewed the Company's modifications to Rider RNM but reserves the right to address the
	rates and the terms and conditions in subsequent fuel proceedings or other proceedings as
	appropriate.

8 Q. DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR TESTIMONY?

9 **A.** Yes.

EXHIBIT SWJ-1

Office of Regulatory Staff Calculation of DERP Charge Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC Docket No. 2018-3-E

200001101201002										
(Over)/Under-Recovery of DERP Incremental Costs June 2017 through September 2018										
	Residential	Commercial	Industrial							
Cumulative (Over)/Under-Recovery	\$669,940	\$486,766	\$568,105							
(Over)/Under-Recovery of DERP Incremental Costs October 2018 through September 2019										
	Residential	Commercial	Industrial							
Cumulative (Over)/Under-Recovery	\$4,619,734	\$3,356,615	\$3,917,509							
Total DERP Charge October 2017 through September 2019										
	Residential	Commercial	Industrial ¹							
Cumulative Under-Recovery through September 2019	\$5,289,674	\$3,843,381	\$4,485,614							
Number of accounts	492,980	74,833	1,542							
Annual Cost (\$) ²	\$10.73	\$51.36	\$1,200.00							

¹ Monthly Charge and Annual Charge are capped in compliance with Act 236. The under-collection will be reallocated in the 2019 fuel proceeding.

\$0.89

\$4.28

\$100.00

Monthly DERP Charge (\$) 2

² ORS' review does not include Gross Receipts Tax.